

Application of: Peter Arthur Tobler, et al.  
Serial No.: 10/708,146  
Supplemental to Amendment B  
Our Ref. No. 718026.64

**REMARKS**

Responsive to the Examiner's action indicating that a complete and accurate record must be provided of the January 09, 2007 Examiner Interview, the following is provided. Claims 1 – 61 are pending in the present application.

On January 09, 2007, the Attorney, Mark Stallion, for the Applicant, Tobler, et al, and the Examiner of record, Examiner Jeffrey West, conducted a telephonic interview to discuss the rejections in application S/N 10/708,146. No exhibit was presented during the interview. The Attorney for the Applicant explained that the perceived strikeout alterations were not intended as such and must have occurred during the electronic filing process. The Attorney and Examiner agreed that a notation of this fact included in the response would suffice, and a note to this effect was included in Amendment B.

Attorney for Applicant agreed to drawing amendments as noted in Amendment B. Attorney agreed to amendments to the specification cited by the Examiner. Amendments to the specification consistent with the interview are provided in Amendment B.

Attorney agreed to amend claims in accordance with the Examiner's objections to the claims cited in the Office Action and said amendments are included in Amendment B. The Attorney and Examiner discussed the section 112 rejections specifically relating to the use of the term "zero tolerance" as a term well known to those skilled in the art. The Examiner agreed.

Application of: Peter Arthur Tobler, et al.  
Serial No.: 10/708,146  
Supplemental to Amendment B  
Our Ref. No. 718026.64

Regarding the rejection under section 101, the Attorney agreed to add a “displaying” step to claims 1-32, 48-54, and 58. The Examiner agreed that this would suffice to overcome the rejection under section 101. The Attorney and Examiner also discussed the rejections under sections 102 and 103 based on Bjornson. The Attorney and Examiner agreed that the references cited do not anticipate, teach or suggest correlating between the part and the quality control data.

The Applicant respectfully asserts that this supplement to Amendment B and Amendment B as previously submitted is now fully responsive and that the application as amended is in condition for allowance including all remaining claims and respectfully request that all remaining claims be allowed to proceed to issuance. Specifically the informalities have been corrected and the references cited do not anticipate or render obvious the invention as claimed.

If any issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this application such as an Examiner’s amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone Applicant’s undersigned attorney in this regard.

Date: April 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted,  


Mark E. Stallion  
Reg. No. 46,132  
Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP  
720 Olive Street, Suite 2400  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
314-345-6000  
ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT